



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/558,237	04/24/2000	Chae-Man Lim	678-475 (P9174)	8647
28249	7590	12/28/2005		EXAMINER
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP				HARPER, KEVIN C
333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD.				
UNIONDALE, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2666	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/558,237	LIM, CHAE-MAN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	Art Unit	
	Kevin C. Harper	2666	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin C. Harper. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Musella (Reg. No. 39,310). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ostberg (US 6,504,830).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the amended limitations of claim 1. Examiner believed that the number of steps for performing cell search in Ostberg is as described in the final office action in paragraph 2. Examiner believed that the information used to perform cell search in Ostberg is as noted in the final office action at paragraph 1. Applicant's representative did not agree with Examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required